

Multiple Regression.

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \dots + \beta_p x_{ip} + \epsilon_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

ϵ_i : Independent random error terms

that are normally distributed with 0

mean and constant variance σ^2 .

All $p+1$ covariates are linearly related

to the dependent variable Y .

p is the number of regressor parameters.

Model parameters $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p$ are estimated

by minimising the least square criterion:

$$J(\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_{i1} - \dots - \beta_p x_{ip})^2$$

for individual β_i 's are not

significant.

Results: $\epsilon_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i \rightarrow SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^2, df = n-p$

Estimate of σ^2 : $\hat{\sigma}^2, MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-p} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^2}{n-p}$

$p = \#$ of predictors.

ANOVA table for multiple regression:

Source SS DF MS F

Regression SSR $p-1$ MSR $F = \frac{MSR}{MSE}$

Error SSE $n-p$ MSE

SSTO SSTO $n-1$ $MSR = \frac{SSR}{p-1}$
 $MSE = \frac{SSE}{n-p}$

$SSTO = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$

$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$

$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \bar{y})^2 = SSTO - SSE$

Coefficient of determination $R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SSTO}$

F-test (Global).

$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_p = 0$

H_1 : Not all β_i 's are equal to 0.

At least some of the covariates are linearly

related to $Y \rightarrow$ useful predictors of the Y .

The rejection of the H_0 says that the model is useful in predicting the point when all remaining X

variables are statistically significant.

Test statistic: $F = \frac{MSR}{MSE}$

H_0 if $F > F_{\alpha}$, where α is fixed in

$F_{p-1, n-p}$ distribution. Leads to high overall Type I

error rate α .

Test for individual regression parameter β_i

$H_0: \beta_i = 0$ vs. $H_1: \beta_i \neq 0$

Test statistic: $t = \frac{\hat{\beta}_i}{s(\hat{\beta}_i)}$

Decision Rule: Reject H_0 if $t > t_{\alpha/2}$ or $t < -t_{\alpha/2}$.

where $t_{\alpha/2}$ is based on $n-p$ df.

Confidence plots of the covariates.

Estimation of σ^2 : $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{SSE}{n-k}$

$n-k$ is the number of degrees of freedom.

$= \frac{SSE}{n-k}$ with k independent variables.

Multicollinearity.

When the covariates are highly correlated

among themselves, difficulties can occur in

estimating the parameters in the model.

Conclusion: every covariate makes the imprecision

of the coefficients of the covariates greater.

The estimated regression coefficients ($\hat{\beta}_i, i=1, 2, \dots, p$)

will have large variability.

The net result: F test shows a

significant overall regression relation

between covariates, but the t -test

for individual β_i 's are not

significant.

Model selection Techniques.

1. R^2 method:

Compare R^2 in each case. For forward.

Objective: Find the subset of the covariates

such that adding more covariates only leads

to a small improvement to R^2 .

2. Stepwise Regression.

Find the subset of the covariates that best

predicts the response variable Y .

Advantage: Variable selection is carried out automatically.

Includes a series of hypothesis testing procedures that

decide whether to include or not to include

a variable in the model.

3 variations: 1. Forward selection: Begin with small subset of X .

and gradually add up to the final number.

2. Backward selection: Begin with all X and gradually eliminate

covariates some at a time.

3. Stepwise method: Begin in the same way as Forward

or backward in which

but each time a variable is added all variables

to it.

In the model are examined to see if any should

be eliminated at that step. (Computerized searching).

If all variables significant (t -values $> \alpha$), and process

model is fine.

If F -test significant but some t -tests' p-values

high (nonsignificant covariates), use forward

selection.

Adjusted R^2 : To avoid the addition of variables.

If there are $(p-1)$ covariates in the model, then

$adj-R^2 = 1 - \left(\frac{n-1}{n-p} \right) (1 - R^2)$

It corrects for sample size and

the # of β parameters.

Adjusted Information Criteria.

But in maximum likelihood and

Bayesian inference, the model

parameters in the model.

AIC = $n \log \left(\frac{SSE}{n} \right) + 2p + c$

Choose model with smallest AIC.

BIC: Schwarz's Bayesian Information Criterion.

Similar to AIC, but assigns a greater penalty

for larger models.

$BIC = n \log \left(\frac{SSE}{n} \right) + (p+1) \log n + c$

Choose model with smallest BIC.

Conjoint variable in Regression model.

Indicator/Dummy variable:

$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if Male} \\ 0 & \text{if Female} \end{cases}$

Then the full model

$\hat{y}_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 X_i^2$

$\hat{y}_i = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 & \text{if Male} \\ \beta_0 & \text{if Female} \end{cases}$

Indicators with more than

two values

$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if SS} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$\hat{y}_i = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_1 & \text{if SS} \\ \beta_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$\hat{y}_i = \begin{cases} \beta_0 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 & \text{if SS} \\ \beta_0 + \beta_1 & \text{if HL} \end{cases}$

When we have a categorical

variable with k levels, we

need to create $(k-1)$ indicator

variables.

On the other hand, a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (x_i - \bar{x}) + \beta_2 (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + \epsilon_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Viewed as a multiple regression model with two variables:

$X_1 = x_i - \bar{x}$ and $X_2 = (x_i - \bar{x})^2$

ANOVA Part I: Covariates are Normal, dependent Y : Continuous.

Factors: Covariates included in the experiment.

The treatment method is the factor.

Factor level: Specific factor of factor used in the experiment.

3 factor of treatment method, delivery, Exercise, Diet

Treatment: Combination of factor levels.

In one factor ANOVA, the factor levels are the treatments.

In multiple ANOVA, specific combinations of factor levels

form various factors are the treatments.

Total number of treatments: Multiply the number of levels

for each factor together.

Factor A has 3 levels and Factor B has 2 levels $\rightarrow 3 \times 2 = 6$.

Replicates: Number of observations within each treatment.

Balance: If the number of replicates in all treatments are equal.

Equal number of observations.

Side-by-side comparison: Get an understanding of the differences between

the treatments in various factor levels.

Implications of the model: The observations in the i th factor level describe a

random sample of size n_i from a normal population with mean μ_i and variance

σ^2 . For $i = 1, 2, \dots, k \rightarrow k$ independent sample from k normal populations with mean μ_i and variance

σ^2 . Must distribution with different means but constant variance.

Test all these populations are identical: $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$ vs. H_1 : Not all

μ_i 's are equal.

On the other hand, a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

Object of one factor ANOVA: Very common factor levels (treatments)

are for ANOVA is a generalization of two-sample inference

to k -sample inference.

$R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SSTO}$ $F = \frac{SSR}{SSTO} \cdot \frac{F-1}{F-2}$ $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_k$
 H_a : Not all μ 's are equal. Reject H_0 if $F > F_{\alpha}$ (F is based on $(k-1, n-k)$ df.)
 Example: we will use "Average" and "Volume".
 For each treatment: $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k y_{ij}^2 = 1082$
 $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k y_{ij} = 112$ Alt : $\bar{y} = \frac{112}{15} = 7.47$
 $SSTO = 1082 - \frac{112^2}{15} = 295.73$ $SSE = 4 \times 5.7 + 4 \times 10.2 + 4 \times 4.7 = 82.4$ $SSR = SSTO - SSE$

Tukey multiple Comparison Procedure.
 Identify the best treatment by comparing all possible pairs of treatment means
 $H_0: \mu_i = \mu_j$ vs. $H_a: \mu_i \neq \mu_j$
 For k means involves $kC_2 = k(k-1)/2$ tests.
 Based on 'int' hypothesis: every each with α .
 P is lost in if the α family is increased.
 $= 1 - P(\text{None of the hypotheses is increased})$
 $= 1 - (1-\alpha)^m$

Constructing Simultaneous CI for the difference
 $(\mu_i - \mu_j)$ with Family confidence coefficient $(1-\alpha)$
 The proportion of correct families of estimates when
 dependent samples are selected and spatial confidence
 intervals for the entire family are calculated for each sample.
 Test for all classical pairwise differences $(\mu_i - \mu_j)$ with
 family CI: $1-\alpha$: $(\bar{y}_i - \bar{y}_j) \pm \frac{q_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \hat{\sigma} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}}$
 $\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{MSE}$ and q_{α} is the $(1-\alpha)$ quantile of the studentized
 range distribution with df = $k, n-k$.
 HSD helps control the Type I error rate when
 conducting multiple comparisons.

$C(\alpha, k) = \frac{k!}{[k!(n-k)!]}$
 Accept H_0 if zero is included in the interval, and
 conclude that the difference between the means
 is not statistically significant. (And vice versa).
 Presentation of the results: Arrange the treatments
 in the increasing order of their means, and plot the
 pairs of treatments that are not significantly different
 by joining them with lines below the treatments.

3.8	6.8	11.8
Exercise	Diet	Meditation

 Tukey
 group.
 {Exercise, Diet} and {Meditation}
 Tukey's HSD test controls the probability of the type I
 error well. While SNK, REGRESS can be more efficient
 than Tukey when the sample sizes in each group are equal.

ANOVA Part II: Two-way ANOVA.
 Factorial Experiment and Interaction: Includes all possible
 combinations of factor levels of all factors. If A and B
 are two factors with factor levels a and b respectively,
 then the experiment is called an $a \times b$ factorial experiment.
 Additive model: $y = \alpha + \beta x + \epsilon$

If a factorial experiment, if the effect we focus on
 the response variable depends on the factor level
 of the other factors, we say that the factor A
 and B interact.

Determine the relevant main Response Plot.
 No interaction: The lines in the response plot are
 in the same direction.
 No interaction: The direction of the lines are arbitrarily
 different.
ANOVA Table for two-factor model.
 Assume that there are a levels of Factor A,
 b levels of factor B, and v replications within
 each treatment. \rightarrow Total sample size: $n = a \cdot b \cdot v$.

	SS	DF	MS	F
Treatment	SSR	ab-1	MSTR	$F_R = \frac{MSTR}{MSE}$
Error	SSE	ab(v-1)	MSE	
Total	SSTO	abv-1		

Interpretation of the ANOVA.
 Step 1: H_0 : There is no treatment effect.
 H_a : There is a significant treatment effect. Is test for significance of mean effects and
 Decision Rule: Reject H_0 if $F_R > F_{\alpha}$: $df = \{ab-1, ab(v-1)\}$
 If the treatment is not significant, the next step
 is to test the significance of the main effects. carry out
 pairwise Comparisons between all possible treatment means.

Acceptance of H_0 implies that the factors are not
 effective in explaining the variability of the response variable.
 So, we will stop and conclude that the model is not
 significantly significant. Otherwise, we proceed to next step.
 Step 2: Test for interaction: H_0 : There is no possible
 interaction between factors A and B. H_a : There is a
 significant interaction between factors A and B.
 Decision Rule: Reject H_0 if $F_{AB} > F_{\alpha}$: $df = \{(a-1)(b-1), ab(v-1)\}$
 Rejection of H_0 implies strong interaction between factors A and B.
 So, individual influence of factor A and B are not meaningful. So
 not carry out the F-test for main effects. Instead, we can carry
 out pairwise comparisons procedure on each treatment to identify the best
 treatments. Or since, if the interaction is not significant, we
 proceed to the next step.

Step 3: Test for main effect A.
 H_0 : There is no significant effect of effect A. $k+1 = \#$ of β parameters in the model.
 H_a : There is a significant effect of factor A.
 Decision Rule: Reject H_0 if $F_A > F_{\alpha}$: $df = \{a-1, ab(v-1)\}$
 If we reject H_0 , it implies that the factor

levels of factor A are significant, and we can
 use multiple comparison procedures to identify
 the equivalent groups among the factor levels
 of factor A.

Step 4: Test for main effect B.
 H_0 : There is no significant effect of effect B.
 H_a : There is a significant effect of factor B.
 Decision Rule: Reject H_0 if $F_B > F_{\alpha}$: $df = \{b-1, ab(v-1)\}$
 If we reject H_0 , it implies that the factor
 levels of factor B are significant, and we can
 use multiple comparison procedures to identify
 the equivalent groups among the factor levels
 of factor B.

In a two-factor ANOVA, the hypothesis to test
 factor B: Overall treatment effect is significant.
 If the overall treatment effect is significant, the
 hypothesis to test next is the interaction

Multiple ANOVA.
 For the three factor experiment,
 the treatments are ABC, AC, BC,
 and ABC.
 Typically assume that higher values
 interactions are preferable and not inside
 these terms in the models.
 In three factor model, we may
 want to include terms up to
 second order interaction.
 Factorial Combinations:
 $C(n,r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!r!}$
 A 100(1- α)% CI for
 $\beta_1 \pm (t_1, t_2) \cdot s_{\beta}$ - this is based on
 $n - (k+1)$ df. $n = \#$ of observations.

Two-way Classification: The population is divided into categories
 according to the factor levels of two different factors. The main
 objective is to explore the relationship between the factors.
 Contingency Table: Show the frequency of occurrence of events
 classified by the combination of factor levels of categorical
 variables. r rows and c columns $\rightarrow r \times c$ contingency table.
 H_0 : The factors are independent; H_a : Two factors are not independent.
 Test statistic: $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$
 Reject H_0 if $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{\alpha}$: $df = (r-1)(c-1)$
 Two events are independent: $P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$.
 Expected Frequency = $\frac{\text{Row total} \times \text{Column total}}{\text{Grand total}}$
 Two-way Classification
 $p = \text{Prob of success (success)}$ and $p_c = \text{Prob of success (non-success)}$.
 A Qualitative-Scaled-Column Model
 in a Single Comparative Independent
 Variable.
 $E(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2$

$\beta_0 = y$ -intercept of the Curve. β is a slope parameter.
 β is the area of curvature.
 Extra adjustability: 1. Extra Mult.: $1.01 \geq 0.03$
 Multivariate Mult.: $0.26 \leq 0.03$ Low Mult.: $1.01 \leq 0.2$

The best one-variable predictor of y is a regression line that
 has the highest absolute value of the coefficient β_1 relative to its
 standard error (s_{β_1}). (Simple Regression).
 Include the highest absolute t-value of the slope, then
 ordering the addition of the many variables enter and on time.
 Experimental unit: The smallest entity to receive a
 treatment (or control) is applied in a study or experiment.
 Multiple pairwise comparisons: Formula: $k \cdot (k-1) / 2$. k groups.
 Choosing 2 groups out of a set of 4 groups need for comparison.
 $4C_2 = 6$

Contingency Data Analysis: (Chi-square of Good Test)
 Frequency table: χ^2 is appropriate when both x and y are
 categorical.)
 Observed Frequency: f_{ij} , Expected Frequency: F_{ij}
 H_0 : The theoretical model for the data. H_a : The theoretical model
 doesn't fit the data.
 Two "chi-squares" between the observed and expected frequency is
 measured by comparing the Pearson's Chi-square statistic:

$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(f_{ij} - F_{ij})^2}{F_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$
 Decision Rule: Reject H_0 if $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{\alpha}$: $df = (r-1)(c-1)$

Category	f_{ij}	F_{ij}	$f_{ij} - F_{ij}$
Real Y	3.5	32.75	-2.25
Wired Y	101	104.25	-3.25
Real G	108	104.25	3.75
Wired G	32	34.75	-2.75
Total	566	566	

 $\chi^2 = \frac{(-2.25)^2}{32.75} + \frac{(-3.25)^2}{104.25} + \frac{(3.75)^2}{104.25} + \frac{(-2.75)^2}{34.75} = 0.47$
 With 3 df (1, 7, 8) \therefore Do not reject H_0 .

Two-way Classification: The population is divided into categories
 according to the factor levels of two different factors. The main
 objective is to explore the relationship between the factors.
 Contingency Table: Show the frequency of occurrence of events
 classified by the combination of factor levels of categorical
 variables. r rows and c columns $\rightarrow r \times c$ contingency table.
 H_0 : The factors are independent; H_a : Two factors are not independent.
 Test statistic: $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$
 Reject H_0 if $\chi^2 > \chi^2_{\alpha}$: $df = (r-1)(c-1)$
 Two events are independent: $P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A) \cdot P(B)$.
 Expected Frequency = $\frac{\text{Row total} \times \text{Column total}}{\text{Grand total}}$
 Two-way Classification
 $p = \text{Prob of success (success)}$ and $p_c = \text{Prob of success (non-success)}$.
 A Qualitative-Scaled-Column Model
 in a Single Comparative Independent
 Variable.
 $E(y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 x^2$